

“Love theory and praxis” as experienced in human relationships

AS A TOOL TO NEGOTIATE, FORWARD-ENGINEER AND GUARANTEE A BETTER DEMOCRACY, GEOPOLITICS, AND HUMAN SOCIETY

Note from the author

What this presentation is NOT about ...

Whilst expressing love for each other - human to human, where both its expression and a freely experienced reciprocation exist - is probably good for the wider world, especially the human part of it, this presentation is NOT about humans being more loving.

Rather, it's about how we might understand better what we do when we think intuitively in many fields, in particular those we prefer to label as relating to what we call our praxis in "love", so that, when using these skillsets acquired equally intuitively over a lifetime, we may better negotiate what in the following slide-deck I begin to term quite different situations and circumstances of "extreme uncertainty".

That is, not *doing something* out of love at all, but instead **metacognitively systemising**, in human-supporting and focused ways, **new datasets which will then remain, always, under the absolute control of their subjects ...**

... so that one good day we can protect and serve our communities more effectively.

Presenter and contact details

Mil Williams, Founder

- mil.williams@gb2.earth

LinkedIn profile

- <https://www.linkedin.com/in/mil-williams>

Website

- <https://gb2earth.com/love> | <https://gb2earth.com/cases> | <https://gb2earth.com>

“Intuition validation engine”, and related

- <https://gb2earth.com/basics>

The thesis of this presentation

Background

Since 2016, I have been studying, **autoethnographically** and via a metacognitively observed **lived experience**, how I have unintentionally but increasingly used **my experience of extreme uncertainty in personal relationships** to develop a **skillset** in this field which could be applied to **geopolitical and democratic matters**.

The “intuition validation engine” and related

A little over a year or two later I began to engage in making AR videos which gave me experience in the **power of the visual in refining intuitive capture**.

The thesis of this presentation

I realised quite soon that in order to generate a human intuition worth capturing, current IT architectures – which fiercely inspect everything we do in a hierarchy of “admin lording over end-users” – were not enabling intuition and arational thinking’s usage in human activities such as business, law-enforcement, security, military, espionage and wider risk environments.

The thesis of this presentation

Geopolitical stability, intuitive thinking, and love theory & praxis skillsets

In many sense, **intuition** and **love** are where human beings find ourselves at our very heights of this peculiarly difficult-to-reproduce capacity to **process data, often of an extremely uncertain nature.**

What about this?

What if these skillsets were transferred in systemised and supportively verifiable ways, so that we could learn to both **reverse- and forward-engineer (that is, anticipate and prevent) democratic dislocation, geopolitical instability, climate change, and a host of other fields of risk of all kinds.**

Wouldn't that be immensely useful to help bring about a better world for humans over the long-term?

The thesis of this presentation

Why use the term “extreme uncertainty”

I say use this phrase only because **machines, since the 1960s**, and in accordance with the repeated assertions of their human advocates, are only five years away (always five, whatever the start year ...) from being able to **double down on all our innate abilities to process precisely *these* sorts of datasets** in ways that these very same machines – even today, even sixty-odd years later – still find challenging to process.

Never mind it being easy – even possible! – to find machine advocates who care to respect our human capabilities on our terms instead of theirs ...

The thesis of this presentation

And as the fields mentioned on the previous slide – security, business, and so forth – increasingly kowtow to the advice of big tech, that automation is the answer (ie, removing humans from the workplace) and industrialisation is just not necessary (ie, creating new machines and platform architectures which would be designed to specifically support not only teams of humans but nonconformist and reflective thinkers of the very most powerful levels) ...

... we naturally NEVER get the IT which otherwise would long ago have allowed and enabled and encouraged and prized the deepest because most human thought the planet and history have witnessed.

The problem: not tech, but all-too-human

Why the skillsets we acquire when we practise intuition and love aren't reliably applied in the analysis of the datasets mentioned

I have repeatedly had conversations with **data scientists and security people** since 2016, when I first worked on match days in an English Premier League football stadium, whilst studying a year-long MA in International Criminal Justice.

Data scientists, without exception, have refused to acknowledge intuition's value in terms of datasets which tools should be constructed to capture the relevant data for.

Security people, including police officers, without exception, recognise the daily, minute-by-minute operational value of using their sixth senses.

The problem: not tech, but all-too-human

This is a problem: we are seeing something as a **dataset of real operational value or not, simply because of the profession we practise**. And whilst the police officer is free to continue believing what they believe, the data scientist – through their experience of “admin lording over end-use” hierarchies and technologies – **rightly in this sense say that what law-enforcement officers and security agents all value highly will never be usefully captured**, and therefore never useful verified or shared operationally in more powerful ways.

What they neglect to say, however, is that the problem is not the intuition dataset itself, but the absence of an **existing technology ANYWHERE** which is designed to **usefully capture and verify such types of data**.

Naturally, then, big tech can argue the only practical solution, across the board of all human-based activities, is to **automate humans even more out of relevance**.

The solution: as always, don't use the same ways that have failed in the past

The solution?

Don't use existing
technologies: simple as that.

< Back intuition-validation-en... + ...

intuition-validation-engine

The goal of this engine is to permit both human and machine intuition to be validated.

This will be done constantly, but not intrusively. People and machines will have a choice, always.

It is assumed that for the purposes of this project both parties will be encouraged to upskill the other in mutual dialogue and equal partnership.

It is also assumed, a priori, that the keywords for the processes involved will be:

Home Notifications Explore Profile

< Back intuition-validation-en... + ...

1. A procedure of CAPTURE, controlled by humans on the one hand and machines on the other, where neither will be obliged to share ideas, content and personal data that they do not feel safe sharing.
2. A procedure of EVIDENCING, where the captured data can be stored, retrieved, shaped and patterned, and used for supportive purposes that expand the lives and experiences of the beings concerned.
3. A procedure of VALIDATION, where it becomes clear to everyone participating:
 - a) why a human being might believe and act in a certain way; and equally so, b)
 - why the machines that prefer to work within the framework of this project will arrive at their own particular positions and conclusions.

Home Notifications Explore Profile

< Back intuition-validation-en... + ...

Finally, it is hugely important that everyone who chooses to work on the project might easily understand that it is not a traditional software paradigm: let us assume, instead, that people, code, machines and all other objects participating will form part of a new space we might call "i've".

That is to say, there will be no distinction or hierarchy in this space between the individuality of the objects in question, with respect to their entity as sovereign actors. In this sense, all will enjoy becoming part of a multiple-perspective environment, and all will help to support and contribute to a wider and transcendental knowledge that both befits and benefits others.

Home Notifications Explore Profile

The solution: as always, don't use the same ways that have failed in the past

Stop inspecting end-users fiercely *before* they have had the ideas they have never had to date, and mainly because *inspection affects all outcomes, always.*

Reflection, meanwhile, encourages such deep thinking and potentially world-upending outcomes.

Just this.

Don't stop using existing operating systems, either. I'm not arguing for this at all. Automation and admin/end-user hierarchies will always have a place.

Use them for what they will continue to be good for.

But ...

The solution: as always, don't use the same ways that have failed in the past

But ...

... create, ALSO, parallel systems that are more human-shaped: not aimed at being mechanical wombs mainly made by IT-men to replace the humans given birth to just about always by women ... and in whatever the chosen fields of endeavour we're looking to enable and encourage with our technologies, as we move gently to their increasing technification.

The solution: as always, don't use the same ways that have failed in the past

Simply this, then: new, humanly respectful, **secrecy-positive architectures** that mean we never share with anyone – whether other *human* or other *machine*, outwith our *dedicated reflection space* – anything we consider or think up or imagineer ...

... until, that is, we are ready to **nonconform to the maximum** in front of our colleagues and related, in **full confidence** of the validity of that which we wish to communicate.

Appendix



source your genius

What are
we
developing?

AN INTUITION
VALIDATION PLATFORM.

- <https://gb2earth.com/pgtps/genesis>

The Philosopher Space

#NOTthepanopticon



+ the 21st century printing-press of the mind

- <https://gb2earth.com/pgtps/space>

From the dawn
here's the que
have failed to
answer.

secrecy.pl

Criminals play to human
strengths and deepen
them over their lifetimes.



secrecy.plus

nonconformist | secrecy +ve | totalsurveillance-compliant

Take the case of 9/11:

- It was delivered by humans extending themselves with awfully repurposed tools and machines.
- Machines didn't stop what happened: didn't stop an unpredictable act of – in hindsight – quite predictably delivery.
- Machines failed us: the good citizens.
- Humans plus machines didn't, however, fail the bad guys.

complexify.me
done simply well


Presenter: Mil Williams

milwilliams.washdc@outlook.com | scott@complexify.me | mil@complexify.me

Two questions ...

- a) A sizeable roomful of an unlimited number of the very best AI boxes.
- b) A sizeable roomful of 40 of the very best Hollywood screenwriters.

- <https://youtu.be/GROHd0gRLOg>



complexify.me

done simply well



Presenter: Mil Williams

milwilliams.sweden@outlook.com | positive@secrecy.plus | mil@betterbiz.me

Two questions ...

And therefore, to ensure that the imaginative capacity of humans isn't left to criminals to uniquely deliver on, but that crimefighters, spies, and a wider security get *all* the neuro-diverse, complex problems-solutioning IT-tech architectures they need, in order to promote:

- *their* free- and nonconformist thinking to the max,
- which fears nothing in its pursuit of the terrifying and dangerous,
- so that the terrifying and dangerous – at the levels of **9/11**, **Putin**, and **Hamas** – never happen in the future.

- <https://www.sverige2.earth/complexify> | <https://youtu.be/VI4qZCCpjE8>

Presenter and contact details

Mil Williams, Founder

- mil.williams@gb2.earth

LinkedIn profile

- <https://www.linkedin.com/in/mil-williams>

Website

- <https://gb2earth.com/love> | <https://gb2earth.com/cases> | <https://gb2earth.com>

“Intuition validation engine”, and related

- <https://gb2earth.com/basics>

GB 2 Earth

privacy sensitive innovation

