bletchley park (ii): intuition for a safer world

by mil williams | mil.williams@gb2.earth



i just reposted on #linkedin about the above thinking from #alanturing with its accompanying brief exposition from #martinciupa.

here's the link to my repost:

and on the next page, the screenshot of what i say in that repost.

felicitously, this morning, after writing the above, i stumbled across something i pulled together whilst in #sweden this year of 2023. i originally proposed it for a location in #stockholm, but am now repurposing and relocating into the tradition that #turing himself clearly drew from during the war years of the original #bletchleypark.

what follows in the rest of this document is a detailed conceptual and discursive overview for

a #bletchleypark (ii), where we deliver on a new approach for making the world a safer place. and it just the same way as #turing & co did all those decades ago.



bletchley park (ii): intuition for a safer world

mission:

fight fire with water wherever possible; only fight it with fire when utterly unavoidable.

all the participating organisations achieve representation in terms of the potential and promise of individuals who belong to each.

to achieve this:

we create a bespoke evaluation process which allows us to identify these individuals' potential and promise in ways no one dreamed of.

the basis of the project is neuro-diverse complex problems-solutioning tech architectures: hardware, wearables and software all.

stepped in stages from the first privacy-sensitive structures through privacy-positive and secrecy-sensitive to the final goal: secrecy-positive.

we spend more money on people and their brains than tech-focussed projects usually do.

this will mean directly re salaries, of course; but, more significantly, humanly supportive re technologies that upskill, expand and enhance the capacity for – ultimately – a wholly secrecy-positive and absolutely deep set of thoughts that each person chosen will be chosen for.

in part, because they already bring them to the table – even when relatively raw.

the projects and workstreams will then be enabled to:

first, drive with an individualistic efficiency (that is, leading to hyper-nonconformist hyper-performing person-focussed inside-out technologies);

but, along the way, also creating ideas and insights regularly and inclusively (that is, what i have already conceptualised as hyperteam-delivering outcomes) over the whole arc of the programme's ultimate progression.

the unremitting goal:

the goal is, however, also unremitting. completely so.

as completely as the #uk's #bletchleypark during the second world war.

the targets:

the targets will be #russia and #china.

plus others who have, equally, allowed the criminality of the aforementioned to embed itself longitudinally throughout these years: from the #russian wealth and war-focussed revenue streams in the #uk alongside the collaboration at, and of, all levels of the #uk governing party during this time to the #chinese "police stations" spreading across supposedly sovereign britain and europe.

equally on the tech side: with huawei and others as pure extensions of the #chinese government's aims to install surveillance *within* our internet backbones, never mind on a citizenry’s personal phones and devices, and so forth …

on neo-crime:

these are *all* examples of what i call neo-crime: things we don't see, nor even imagine, until usually they've moved on to something else and it's too late.

often they're hard even to reverse-engineer after the event, never mind forward-engineer with the goal of prevention.

my thoughts on neo-crime:

my thoughts, therefore, on this in particular are:

1. we must learn how to reverse-engineer neo-crime first; in order that

2. we may acquire fully, sooner than later, the ability to forward-engineer it.

and for two reasons:

1. traditional crime and global security prevention.

2. proactive actions of a similar covert nature which one day we will be more capable of prophylactically carrying out *against* such enemies.

all the above, then, as crimes these criminals creatively commit, happens like this because those committing such criminality are also

clever enough not to need to show anyone, ever, exactly how clever they are.

so we simply remain unaware, thinking it's random or just life as it must be … or … whatever.

#bletchleypark (ii)’s rationale for existing:

#bletchleypark (ii) must therefore exist to anticipate, scope, identify, protect, and serve the interests of a real, good western democracy where a shared collective future-present based on the rights of the individual is practical.

this is why i would add to the word "unremitting" another one:

when we are able to fight fire with water, the word already mentioned.

but when fire is our only alternative, then perhaps from a related org not open to #bletchleypark (ii) personnel themselves, for everyone's mental wellbeing and sense of proportion and focus, we must fight this awful longitudinal fire that led to #ukraine, and is sustained by the authoritarianism of the

#chinese since much longer than we care to realise, with an equally merciless fire of own.

proportionate always, i repeat: but more than what unremitting may indicate to us right now.

and you may disagree, too; we may need to refine; we might have to finesse these suggestions and expectations and limits and boundaries as proposed.

but in all cases, the american civic thinker peter levine was right: good democracy demands we be inclusive, yes, but equally … we must be efficient.

a permanent and productively ongoing tension between the two.

so if covert spending exists to fund the fire with fire side, then maybe another name and mission quite different from the main focus of

#bletchleypark (ii): be *far* cleverer than our enemies always, so fire is only ever an occasional very last resort.

one thing must remain clear:

however, one thing must remain sharply clear: the final goal of both organisations (if separate ones *are* needed) will thankfully be shared.

it must be.

the objectives of both fire with water and fire with fire are to preserve, expand, deliver, share, and educate a global citizenry -- facilitating that all become versed re the arts of learner and teacher, both -- in the virtues of what good western democratic governance has inevitably always promised:

- a community spirit built on the absolute sovereignty of what we all hope are

ultimately the nation's most thinking citizens.

- that is, everyone.

we MUST trust that human beings will instinctively prefer their innate humanity over what we see in #ukraine, in #london's richest money-laundering centres, in #china,in #russia, in places of similar authoritarianism across the globe – just so many, too many, far too many.

but in order for a human being to prefer their innate humanity over becoming inhuman when the choice presents itself, we MUST also give this humanity we want to flourish the tools to make it possible for all people to FEEL that it's SAFE TO BE GOOD.

which is why i say: nation-building and citizen-building have to be accompanied by an unremitting delivery that fights crime and

ensures global security in the ways i will now never stop advocating.

ways which, perhaps, we have absolutely never pursued, either.

i hope this is ok. i hope for many reasons.

and i am always open to debate, to new ideas, to restructuring it *all* if the evidence says it must be so.

but i also hold true to the reality that no one believed anything i said for twenty, and maybe more, years … but twenty at least.

and so i cry not for me, but for the hundreds of thousands, maybe millions too, of other human beings who still aren't believed in just the same way.

because we still knowingly, negligently, even criminally ourselves, make it possible for criminals (and all similar: including those who

advantage themselves of multiple loopholes and zemiological processes) to be far more creative and nonconformist in their criminality than we ourselves have dared -- even ever CARED! -- to be in our battle against the same.

finally, just reconsider this:



just one more time.

why are criminals the strongest link in their criminality whilst the security industry

consistently sustains the rest of us humans must be the weakest link in security?

it wouldn't have anything to do with the fact that it's easier to monetise a widely imposed, machine-based counterforce to criminality than it is to integrate machines closely and sympathetically with the actual needs of the most competent, existent crimefighters we already have: finding themselves, it's true, not only having to fight the rampant criminality that leads directly to authoritarian #russia and #ukraine’s invasion by the same, but also the #it- and #ai-#tech which their manufacturers utterly refuse, even today, especially today, to make supportive of humans as we actually are.

gb2earth.com | sverige2.earth | secrecy.plus

mil.williams@gb2.earth.com

GB 2 Earth
privacy sensitive innovation

Click to: historical | platform genesis | the philosopher space | truth consulting / better biz me (II) / an early proposal for investors | startup hunch | crime hunch | citizen hunch | o mi wan | never meet again

gb2earth.com

sverige2

Why on earth?

sverige2.earth

secrecy.plus
nonconformist | secrecy +ve | totalsurveillance-compliant

secrecy.plus